

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•	•	·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,720	01/13/2006	Koichiro Kishima	450101-04870.1	2419
William Fromn	7590 08/13/2007 ner		EXAM	INER
Frommer Lawrence & Haug		NHU, DAVID		
745 Fifth Aven New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
21011 2011, 111	,		2818	
		, .		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	· •		08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*	10/540,720	KISHIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Nhu	2818			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	SS		
Period for Reply	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this commu	·		
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Oc	ctober 2006.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	-				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		hy the Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been	received in this National Stag	ge		
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.			
		ALR A			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	•		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del> ·			

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I: Claims 1-7, 11-17, draw to a method for fabricating a semiconductor substrate, classified in class 438, and subclass 513

Group II: Claims 8-10, 18-20, draw to a semiconductor substrate, classified 257, and subclass 760.

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes /method materially different from those of the group II invention. For example, claim 1, 11, a heat treatment step of forming a silicon dioxide layer in he single crystal silicon.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2818

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Nhu, (571)272-1792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

David Nhu

tex/

August 7, 2007

Sanota